

Erratum for “Water Quality–Based Environmental Flow under Plausible Temperature and Pollution Scenarios

by

Shushobhit Chaudhary, C.T. Dhanya, Arun Kumar, Shaik Rehana


in

Hydrol. Eng.,

Report No: IIIT/TR/2020/-1



Centre for Spatial Informatics
International Institute of Information Technology
Hyderabad - 500 032, INDIA
April 2020



Erratum for “Water Quality–Based Environmental Flow under Plausible Temperature and Pollution Scenarios” by Shushobhit Chaudhary, C. T. Dhanya, Arun Kumar, and Rehana Shaik

Shushobhit Chaudhary

Research Scholar, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.

C. T. Dhanya

Associate Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India (corresponding author). ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0206-5193>. Email: dhanya@civil.iitd.ac.in

Arun Kumar

Associate Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.

Rehana Shaik

Assistant Professor, Laboratory for Spatial Informatics, International Institute of Information Technology Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500032, India.

[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)HE.1943-5584.0001780](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001780)

The following corrections should be made to the original paper:

In the “Eflow Estimation Based on Hydrological and Hydraulic Factors” subsection of the “Results and Discussion” section:

- In the sentence beginning with “Hydrological Eflow recommendations for maintaining good health over the Yamuna River were estimated to lie between 986.4 and 2,959.3 m³/s,” “986.4 and 2,959.3 m³/s” should be replaced with “27.9 m³/s (986.4 ft³/s) and 83.8 m³/s (2,959.3 ft³/s).”

- In the sentence published as, “The minimum Eflow to be maintained in the river during the dry season is 3,945.7 m³/s for the Yamuna and 5.8 m³/s for the Bhadra,” “3,945.7 m³/s” should be replaced with “111.7 m³/s (3,945.7 ft³/s).”

In the Supplemental Data:

- In Table S3, the values in the columns under “Eflow of Yamuna River (m³/s)” are updated. The published paper contained values in ft³/s, which are retained in brackets. The values of Eflow are converted to m³/s as shown in the revised Table S3.
- In Table S5, the “Yamuna” column under “Recommended Eflow (m³/s)” contains values in ft³/s in the published paper. The values of flow are converted in m³/s as shown in the revised Table S5. The values in ft³/s are retained in brackets.
- In Fig. S2(a), the y-axis label of “Discharge (cumecs)” should be replaced with “Discharge (cusecs).” The corrected Fig. S2 is provided in the Supplemental Data.

In the subsection “Yamuna River (Delhi Segment)” under the section “Description of Study Regions,” “Uttaranchal” should become “Uttarakhand.”

In Fig. 2(a), the labels for Drains 15 and 16 should be interchanged. The revised figure is provided herein.

Supplemental Data

Revised versions of Tables S3 and S5 and Fig. S2 are available online in the ASCE Library (www.ascelibrary.org).




Fig. 2. (a) Details of the Delhi segment of the Yamuna River; and (b) details of the Bhadravati segment of the Bhadra River.